City of Las Vegas

AGENDA MEMO

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: JUNE 11, 2009

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: ABEYANCE - VAR-33771 - APPLICANT/OWNER: BASHIR

AFZALI

** CONDITIONS **

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: DENIAL. If Approved, subject to:

Planning and Development

- 1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Variance (VAR-33768) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-33767) shall be required, if approved.
- 2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

** STAFF REPORT **

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a request for a Variance to allow 32 parking spaces and zero loading spaces where 36 parking spaces and one loading space are required on 0.58 acres on the east side of Martin L. King Boulevard, approximately 500 feet north of Washington Avenue. Associated requests have also been submitted for a Rezoning (ZON-33765) from R-E (Residence Estates) to C-1 (Limited Commercial), a Variance (VAR-33768) to allow a 20-foot rear yard setback where Title 19.08.060 Residential Adjacency Standards require 35 feet, and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-33767) for a proposed 6,232 square-foot commercial building with Waivers of Title 19.12.040 Perimeter Landscape Buffer Standards to allow buffers of 10 feet where 15 feet is required along the west perimeter and five feet where eight feet is required along the north and south perimeters. The applicant is also requesting, as part of the review, an Exception to allow no parking lot landscape islands and trees where four of each are required. Staff is recommending denial of this request as the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing to overbuild the subject site.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Related Relevant	City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.						
12/01/04	The City Council approved a request for Rezoning (ZON-5222) from R-E						
	(Residence Estates) to C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 0.58 acres located						
	between 1000-1100 Martin L. King Boulevard. The Planning Commission						
	recommended approval. The Resolution of Intent expired on 12/01/06.						
12/01/04	The City Council denied requests for a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-						
	5223) with a Waiver of perimeter buffering and landscaping standards and a						
	Special Use Permit (SUP-5225) for a proposed Smog Check and Car Wash,						
	Self Service on 0.58 acres located between 1000-1100 Martin L. King						
	Boulevard. The Planning Commission recommended approval.						
04/23/09	The City Council, at the request of the applicant, abeyed requests for a						
	Rezoning (ZON-33765) from R-E (Residence Estates) to C-1 (Limited						
	Commercial); a Variance (VAR-33768) to allow a 20-foot rear yard setback						
	where Residential Adjacency standards require 35 feet; a Variance (VAR-						
	33771) to allow 32 parking spaces and zero loading spaces where 36 parking						
	spaces and one loading space are required; and a Site Development Plan						
	Review (SDR-33767) for a proposed 6,232 square-foot commercial building						
	with Waivers of the perimeter landscape buffer standards to allow buffers of						
	10 feet where 15 feet is required along the west perimeter and five feet where						
	eight feet is required along the north and south perimeters and a Waiver of the						
	building placement and orientation standards on 0.58 acres on the east side of						
	Martin L. King Boulevard, approximately 500 feet north of Washington						
	Avenue.						

Related Building Permits/Business Licenses

There are no related building permits or business licenses associated with the subject site.

Pre-Application Meeting					
12/16/08	A pre-application meeting was held to discuss the submittal requirements for				
	a Rezoning and Site Development Plan Review, as well as required Variances				
	for setbacks and parking requirements.				

Neighborhood Meeting

A neighborhood meeting was neither required nor held for this request.

Field Check	
03/19/09	A field check was conducted by staff. The subject site is an undeveloped
	parcel. There is an unpermitted chain link fence along the front of the lot, as
	well as some debris.

Details of Application Request			
Site Area			
Gross Acres	0.58 Acres		

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	
Subject Property	Undeveloped	C (Commercial)	R-E (Residence	
			Estates)	
North	Shopping Center	C (Commercial)	C-1 (Limited	
			Commercial)	
South	Retail Shops	C (Commercial)	C-1 (Limited	
			Commercial)	
East	Single-Family	C (Commercial)	R-E (Residence	
	Residence		Estates)	
West	Single-Family	R (Rural Density	R-E (Residence	
	Residences	Residential)	Estates)	

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Area Plan			
West Las Vegas Plan	X		Y
Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts			
A-O Airport Overlay District (140 Feet)	X		Y
Trails		X	N/A
Rural Preservation Overlay District	X		Y*
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X	N/A
Project of Regional Significance		X	N/A

*The subject site is within a Rural Preservation Overlay District buffer area. The east side of Martin L. King Boulevard has a General Plan designation of C (Commercial), and falls within the Las Vegas Redevelopment Plan area. In addition, Martin L. King Boulevard is in the process of being widened to 100 feet, which meets the Title 19.06.150(B)(2) exception to maintaining the rural character of the area. These growth and development factors provide cause for this request to be considered for approval pursuant to Title 19.06.150(C).

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Title 19.10, the following parking standards apply:

Parking Requirement							
	Gross Floor		Required	Required		ded	Compliance
	Area or		Parking		Parking		
	Number of	Parking	Dagular	Handi-	Dagular	Handi-	
Use	Units	Ratio	Regular	capped	Regular	capped	
General Retail	6,232 SF	1:175	34	2	30	2	
Store, Other							
Than Listed							
TOTAL			36		32	,	N*
Loading	One loading space is required, up to 10,000		1		0		N*
Spaces							
	SF						
Percent					119	%	
Deviation							

^{*}The applicant has submitted this request for a Variance to allow 32 parking spaces and no loading spaces where 36 parking spaces and one loading space is required. The request represents an 11% deviation from the standard.

ANALYSIS

The applicant is proposing a 6,232 square-foot commercial building, which would require a total of 36 parking spaces, as well as one on-site loading zone. The submitted site plan depicts a total of 32 parking spaces and no loading zone. The 11% reduction in required parking a complete omission of a loading zone denotes that the site is being overbuilt. Furthermore, the proposed deficiencies are preferential in nature and as such, the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship that staff cannot support; therefore, staff is recommending denial of this request.

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

VAR-33771 - Staff Report Page Four June 11, 2009 - Planning Commission Meeting

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
- 3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing to overbuild the subject site. Alternative site design, coupled with a reduction in the floor area of the development, would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 6 SENATE DISTRICT 4 NOTICES MAILED 161 APPROVALS 1 PROTESTS 2